

PSYCHOLOGICAL IMPACT AND QUALITY OF LIFE IN PATIENTS WHO RECEIVED SOLID ORGAN TRANSPLANTATION

Leonardo Ribeiro Rojo

<http://lattes.cnpq.br/6132253056415254>

Gabriella Dezordi Mandim Feitosa

<https://lattes.cnpq.br/0390059153159592>

Luís Miguel Amaral Silva

<http://lattes.cnpq.br/0012035418018212>

Mariana Pasqualotti Sena

<http://lattes.cnpq.br/5640222881450669>

Vitória Martins Granja de Moura

<http://lattes.cnpq.br/8418174477729229>

Christian Akira Nozawa

<http://lattes.cnpq.br/2685657538490583>

Giovanna Mariotti Moreira

<http://lattes.cnpq.br/4791743372358340>

Lívia Tomita Gonçalves

<http://lattes.cnpq.br/9942650097552083>

Natielly Aparecida Silva Queiroz

<http://lattes.cnpq.br/4847578674518684>

Bruna Gomes Vieira

<http://lattes.cnpq.br/1638811461055041>

Isabella Peixoto dos Santos

<http://lattes.cnpq.br/4791743372358340>

Mauricio Lopes da Silva Netto

All content in this magazine is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License. Attribution-Non-Commercial-Non-Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).



Abstract: **INTRODUCTION** Solid organ transplantation, including kidney, liver, and heart transplants, has become increasingly prevalent, with significant improvements in surgical techniques and immunosuppressive therapies enhancing survival rates. Despite these advancements, patients face numerous challenges, including the psychological burden of chronic illness prior to transplantation, immediate post-transplant psychological reactions, and long-term adjustment issues. The importance of pre-transplant psychological evaluation and the impact of immunosuppressive therapy on mental health are crucial aspects of patient care. The role of support systems and the measurement of quality of life indicators are essential for understanding the overall well-being of transplant recipients. This study aims to address the existing gaps in research by providing a comprehensive review of the psychological and quality of life outcomes in patients who have undergone solid organ transplantation. **OBJETIVE** To comprehensively evaluate the psychological impact and quality of life in patients who have received solid organ transplants, with a focus on identifying key factors that influence mental health outcomes and overall well-being post-transplant. **METHODS** This is a narrative review which included studies in the MEDLINE – PubMed (National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health), COCHRANE, EMBASE and Google Scholar databases, using as descriptors: “Psychological Impact” AND “Quality of Life” AND “Solid Organ Transplantation” AND “Mental Health” AND “Post-Transplant Adjustment” in the last years. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** The study found a high prevalence of anxiety and depression among post-transplant patients, significantly impacting their quality of life and graft survival. Pre-transplant psychological state plays a critical role in post-

transplant outcomes, highlighting the need for comprehensive psychological assessments. Immunosuppressive therapy, essential for preventing organ rejection, often leads to mood disorders and cognitive impairments, necessitating regular mental health evaluations. While physical health typically improves post-transplant, psychological and social dimensions of quality of life may not show the same level of improvement. Medication adherence is closely linked to better psychological outcomes, whereas non-adherence correlates with increased mental health issues and poorer graft survival. Social support, including family, friends, and healthcare professionals, is crucial for improving psychological resilience. The study also noted differences in psychological impact among various types of organ transplants and highlighted the significant influence of socioeconomic and cultural factors on psychological outcomes. Gender differences were evident, with female patients experiencing higher levels of anxiety and depression. The effectiveness of patient education and support groups was emphasized, along with the growing role of telemedicine in providing psychological support.

CONCLUSION

The psychological impact and quality of life in patients who have received solid organ transplants are influenced by multiple factors, including the prevalence of anxiety and depression, pre-transplant psychological state, and the impact of immunosuppressive therapy. Social support and tailored interventions are essential for improving overall quality of life. Addressing socioeconomic and cultural factors, along with gender differences, is crucial for designing effective psychological support programs. Integrating psychological care into transplant patient management through multidisciplinary care teams can significantly enhance patient outcomes. Future research should continue to explore

and refine strategies tailored to the diverse needs of transplant patients, ensuring a holistic approach to their care.

Keywords: Transplant Psychiatry; Immunosuppressive Therapy; Transplant Recipients; Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL).

INTRODUCTION

The prevalence and variety of solid organ transplants have increased significantly in recent years, becoming a crucial component of modern medical practice¹. Kidney, liver, and heart transplants are the most common types, with kidney transplants alone accounting for over half of all organ transplants worldwide². Advances in surgical techniques and immunosuppressive therapies have dramatically improved survival rates, allowing many patients to lead productive lives post-transplantation³. However, the journey of a transplant patient is fraught with challenges, both physiological and psychological, necessitating a comprehensive understanding of the impact of these procedures on mental health and overall quality of life⁴.

Survival rates post-transplant have improved, with kidney transplant recipients now enjoying five-year survival rates exceeding 85%, liver recipients around 75%, and heart recipients approximately 70%⁵. Despite these encouraging statistics, patients face a myriad of medical challenges, including the risk of acute and chronic rejection, infection, and the adverse effects of long-term immunosuppressive therapy⁵. These medical issues, compounded by the psychological burden of living with a transplanted organ, necessitate a holistic approach to patient care that integrates both physical and mental health support⁶.

Chronic illness prior to transplantation places a significant psychological burden on patients, often resulting in anxiety, depression,

and diminished quality of life⁷. The chronicity and severity of the underlying disease, coupled with the anticipation of the transplant and potential complications, contribute to a complex psychological landscape⁸. Pre-transplant psychological evaluation and support are critical in identifying at-risk patients and providing necessary interventions to mitigate these mental health challenges⁹. Such evaluations help in tailoring post-transplant care to better support the patient's psychological well-being¹⁰.

The immediate post-transplant period is marked by a range of psychological reactions¹¹. Patients may experience relief and gratitude for a second chance at life, but these positive feelings are often accompanied by anxiety about the possibility of organ rejection, the burden of lifelong medication, and the adjustment to a new lifestyle¹². Depression is not uncommon in this period, and its early identification and management are crucial for the patient's overall recovery and long-term psychological health¹³.

Long-term psychological adjustment remains a significant challenge for transplant recipients. Medication adherence is a critical issue, as non-compliance can lead to organ rejection and other complications¹⁴. Lifestyle changes, including dietary restrictions and regular medical check-ups, add to the burden. Patients often struggle with the fear of chronic graft rejection and the implications it has on their survival and quality of life¹⁵. Continuous psychological support and counseling can help patients develop coping strategies and improve their mental health outcomes¹⁶.

Quality of life in transplant patients is a multidimensional construct, encompassing physical health, psychological state, social relationships, and environmental factors¹⁷. Measuring these indicators helps in understanding the overall well-being of transplant recipients and identifying

areas needing intervention¹⁸. The impact of lifelong immunosuppressive therapy on psychological and physiological health cannot be understated. These medications, while essential for preventing organ rejection, have side effects that include mood swings, cognitive changes, and an increased risk of infections and malignancies¹⁹.

The role of support systems, including family, friends, and healthcare professionals, is paramount in the post-transplant period. Effective support networks can significantly enhance the patient's psychological resilience and overall quality of life. Despite the advancements in transplantation and patient care, there are still gaps in the research concerning the psychological impacts of transplantation. This study aims to address these gaps, providing a comprehensive review of the psychological and quality of life outcomes in patients who have undergone solid organ transplantation, with the ultimate goal of informing better clinical practices and support strategies.

OBJETIVES

To comprehensively evaluate the psychological impact and quality of life in patients who have received solid organ transplants, with a focus on identifying key factors that influence mental health outcomes and overall well-being post-transplant.

SECONDARY OBJETIVES

1. To assess the prevalence of anxiety and depression in post-transplant patients and the role of pre-transplant psychological state on post-transplant outcomes.
2. To examine the impact of long-term immunosuppressive therapy on mental health and the relationship between medication adherence and psychological well-being.

3. To explore the influence of social support, socioeconomic factors, and cultural differences on post-transplant quality of life.
4. To evaluate the effectiveness of psychological interventions, support groups, and telemedicine in improving mental health outcomes for transplant recipients.
5. To provide recommendations for integrating psychological care into the management of transplant patients to enhance overall clinical outcomes and quality of life.

METHODS

This is a narrative review, in which the main aspects of Double Heart-Kidney Transplantation in recent years were analyzed. The beginning of the study was carried out with theoretical training using the following databases: PubMed, sciELO and Medline, using as descriptors: “Psychological Impact” AND “Quality of Life” AND “Solid Organ Transplantation” AND “Mental Health” AND “Post-Transplant Adjustment” in the last years. As it is a narrative review, this study does not have any risks.

Databases: This review included studies in the MEDLINE – PubMed (National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health), COCHRANE, EMBASE and Google Scholar databases.

The inclusion criteria applied in the analytical review were human intervention studies, experimental studies, cohort studies, case-control studies, cross-sectional studies and literature reviews, editorials, case reports, and poster presentations. Also, only studies writing in English and Portuguese were included.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The prevalence of anxiety and depression among post-transplant patients is notably high, with studies indicating that up to 30% of transplant recipients experience significant depressive symptoms, while anxiety affects approximately 25%. These psychological conditions can adversely impact the patient's overall well-being and graft survival, necessitating vigilant mental health monitoring and intervention by healthcare providers. The role of pre-transplant psychological state on post-transplant outcomes is critical. Patients with a history of psychological disorders or significant stressors before transplantation are at a higher risk of developing post-transplant mental health issues. This underscores the importance of comprehensive psychological assessments as part of the pre-transplant evaluation process.

Immunosuppressive therapy, while essential for preventing organ rejection, poses a significant risk to mental health. Long-term use of these medications can lead to mood disorders, cognitive impairments, and other psychiatric symptoms. For instance, corticosteroids are known to cause mood swings, insomnia, and even psychosis in some cases. Regular psychological evaluation and management strategies should be an integral part of the care plan for these patients to mitigate these adverse effects.

Comparing quality of life indicators before and after transplantation reveals a complex picture. While many patients report significant improvements in physical health and functional status post-transplant, psychological and social dimensions of quality of life may not show the same level of improvement. Factors such as fear of rejection, side effects of medications, and social isolation can negatively impact these aspects. This highlights the need for a holistic approach to patient care that addresses not

only physical but also psychological and social needs.

The relationship between medication adherence and psychological well-being is well-documented. Patients who adhere to their medication regimen tend to have better mental health outcomes, while non-adherence is often linked to increased anxiety, depression, and poorer graft survival . Interventions aimed at improving medication adherence, such as patient education and support groups, can have a positive impact on both psychological and clinical outcomes.

Common psychological challenges in the immediate post-transplant period include adjusting to the new health status, coping with the fear of organ rejection, and managing the side effects of immunosuppressive medications . Early psychological intervention can help patients navigate these challenges and improve their overall adjustment and quality of life. Long-term psychological adjustments and coping strategies are equally important. Patients need to develop effective coping mechanisms to deal with chronic health issues, medication side effects, and the ongoing fear of rejection . Psychological support, including counseling and support groups, can play a crucial role in this process.

Social support is a critical determinant of post-transplant quality of life. Patients with strong social networks, including family and friends, generally report better psychological outcomes and higher quality of life . The role of healthcare professionals in providing psychological support is also vital. Nurses, doctors, and mental health professionals should work collaboratively to offer comprehensive care that addresses both physical and mental health needs .

Differences in psychological impact among various types of organ transplants have been observed. For example, heart transplant recipients may experience more

significant psychological distress compared to kidney transplant recipients due to the higher perceived risk and complexity of the procedure . Understanding these differences can help tailor psychological support to the specific needs of different patient groups. Socioeconomic factors significantly impact psychological outcomes post-transplant. Patients from lower socioeconomic backgrounds often face additional stressors, such as financial instability and limited access to healthcare, which can exacerbate psychological issues . Addressing these disparities through targeted interventions is crucial for improving overall outcomes.

Gender differences in psychological responses to transplantation have also been noted. Female patients may experience higher levels of anxiety and depression compared to their male counterparts, highlighting the need for gender-sensitive approaches to psychological care . Cultural factors play a role in psychological adjustment post-transplant as well. Patients from different cultural backgrounds may have varying beliefs and attitudes towards transplantation, which can influence their psychological adjustment and coping strategies . Patient education is vital in managing psychological challenges post-transplant. Educating patients about what to expect after transplantation, the importance of medication adherence, and available support resources can empower them to take an active role in their recovery and mental health management . The effectiveness of support groups for transplant patients cannot be overstated. These groups provide a platform for patients to share their experiences, receive emotional support, and learn coping strategies from others who have undergone similar experiences .

Chronic graft rejection poses a significant psychological burden on transplant patients. The fear of rejection and the need for intensive

medical interventions can lead to increased anxiety and depression . Psychological support and counseling can help patients manage these fears and improve their mental health outcomes. The role of telemedicine in providing psychological support to transplant patients is increasingly recognized. Telehealth platforms can offer convenient access to mental health services, especially for patients in remote areas or those with mobility issues. Psychological well-being has a direct impact on long-term graft survival. Patients with better mental health are more likely to adhere to their medication regimen and follow medical advice, leading to better graft outcomes. Developing recommendations for integrating psychological care into transplant patient management is essential. A multidisciplinary approach that includes regular psychological assessments, counseling, and support services can significantly improve patient outcomes and quality of life .

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the psychological impact and quality of life in patients who have received solid organ transplants are influenced by a multitude of factors. The prevalence of anxiety and depression remains high among these patients, necessitating comprehensive psychological assessments and interventions. The role of pre-transplant psychological state, the impact of immunosuppressive therapy, and the importance of medication adherence are crucial aspects that significantly affect mental health outcomes. Long-term psychological adjustments, social support, and targeted interventions tailored to specific

patient groups are essential for improving overall quality of life.

Socioeconomic and cultural factors, as well as gender differences, must be taken into consideration when designing psychological support programs for transplant recipients. Patient education and the role of support groups have been highlighted as critical elements in managing post-transplant psychological challenges. Additionally, chronic graft rejection and the integration of telemedicine for psychological support represent areas that require ongoing attention to enhance patient care.

The findings from this comprehensive review underscore the necessity for a holistic approach to the management of transplant patients, one that encompasses both physical and psychological care. The implementation of multidisciplinary care teams, including mental health professionals, can ensure that patients receive the support they need to navigate the complexities of life post-transplant.

In light of the evidence presented, it is imperative that healthcare providers recognize the profound impact of psychological well-being on the long-term success of solid organ transplantation. By addressing the mental health needs of transplant patients through systematic and evidence-based interventions, we can improve not only their quality of life but also their overall clinical outcomes. Future research should continue to explore the nuances of psychological care in transplant patients, with a focus on developing and refining strategies that are tailored to the diverse needs of this unique patient population.

REFERENCES

1. Global Observatory on Donation and Transplantation. Organ Donation and Transplantation Activities. [Available from: <http://www.transplant-observatory.org/>]
2. OPTN/SRTR Annual Data Report 2020. Scientific Registry of Transplant Recipients. [Available from: https://srtr.transplant.hrsa.gov/annual_reports/2020/]
3. Dew MA, Myaskovsky L, Switzer GE, et al. Profiles and predictors of the course of psychological distress across four years after heart transplantation. *Psychol Med.* 2005;35(8):1215-1227.
4. Kaba E, Thompson DR, Burnard P, Edwards D, Theodosopoulou E. Somebody else's heart inside me: a descriptive study of psychological problems after a heart transplantation. *Issues Ment Health Nurs.* 2005;26(6):611-625.
5. Kugler C, Gottlieb J, Warnecke G, et al. Health-related quality of life after solid organ transplantation: a prospective, multiorgan cohort study. *Transplantation.* 2013;96(3):316-323.
6. Rosenberger EM, Dew MA, Crone C, DiMartini AF. Psychiatric disorders as risk factors for adverse outcomes after solid organ transplantation. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant.* 2012;17(2):188-192.
7. Corruble E, Barry C, Varescon I, et al. A specific scale for assessing depressive symptoms in kidney transplant recipients. *J Psychosom Res.* 2010;68(6):593-601.
8. Bunzel B, Laederach-Hofmann K. Solid organ transplantation: Are there predictors for posttransplant noncompliance? A literature overview. *Transplantation.* 2000;70(5):711-716.
9. Beckmann S, Murali V, Jamieson NV. Psychological outcome and quality of life following liver transplantation: a prospective study. *Liver Transpl.* 2007;13(10):1489-1497.
10. Fukunishi I, Sugawara Y, Takayama T, et al. Psychosocial adjustment and quality of life after living donor liver transplantation. *Transplant Proc.* 2002;34(8):2976-2977.
11. Huda A, Newcomer R, Harrington C, et al. High rates of immunosuppressant nonadherence in kidney transplant recipients
12. Zipfel S, Schneider A, Wild B, et al. Effect of depressive symptoms on survival after heart transplantation. *Psychosom Med.* 2002;64(5):740-747.
13. Grady KL, Andrei AC, White-Williams C, et al. The influence of patient and treatment factors on health status after heart transplant. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2016;35(1):34-42.
14. Gill P, Lowes L. Renal transplantation: psychosocial and ethical issues in live-donor kidney transplantation. *Int J Nurs Pract.* 2008;14(6):457-463.
15. Corbett C, Armstrong MJ, Parker R, Webb K, Neuberger JM. Mental health disorders and solid-organ transplant recipients. *Transplantation.* 2013;96(7):593-600.
16. Griva K, Stygall J, Ng JH, Davenport A, Harrison M, Newman SP. Prospective study of health-related quality of life and emotional outcomes in kidney transplantation. *Transplantation.* 2011;92(6):615-622.
17. Israni AK, Snyder JJ, Skeans MA, et al. Predicting coronary heart disease after kidney transplantation: Patient outcomes in renal transplantation (PORT) study. *Am J Transplant.* 2010;10(2):338-353.
18. Lopes A, Frigato S, Sainz-Barriga M, et al. Psychosocial outcomes in liver transplantation: a multicenter study. *Transplantation.* 2012;94(5):596-603.

19. DiMartini AF, Dew MA, Chaudhari S, et al. Early trajectories of depressive symptoms after liver transplantation for alcoholic liver disease predicts long-term survival. *Am J Transplant.* 2011;11(6):1287-1295.
20. Rodrigue JR, Nelson DR, Reed AI, Hanto DW, Curry MP. The quality of life and psychosocial functioning of spouses of liver transplant candidates: does waiting matter? *Liver Transpl.* 2011;17(3):288-295.
21. Rodrigue JR, Schold JD, Mandelbrot DA. The decline in living kidney donation in the United States: random variation or cause for concern? *Transplantation.* 2013;96(9):767-773.
22. Wilson CH, Bhatti AA, Rix DA, Manas DM. Terminally ill patients and organ donation: an opportunity for excellence. *BMJ Support Palliat Care.* 2012;2(2):143-147.
23. Basic M, Vukas D, Ivanisevic M, et al. Depression and anxiety in patients on the kidney transplant waiting list. *Psychiatr Danub.* 2014;26(3):242-246.
24. Franke GH, Reimer J, Philipp T, Heemann U. Aspects of quality of life through end-stage renal disease. *Qual Life Res.* 2003;12(7):1035-1045.
25. Blumenthal JA, Lett HS, Babyak MA, et al. Depression as a risk factor for mortality after coronary artery bypass surgery. *Lancet.* 2003;362(9384):604-609.
26. Chacko RC, Harper RG, Kunik M, Young J. Relationship of psychiatric morbidity and psychosocial factors in organ transplant candidates. *Psychosomatics.* 1996;37(2):100-107.
27. Cukor D, Cohen SD, Peterson RA, Kimmel PL. Psychosocial aspects of chronic disease: ESRD as a paradigmatic illness. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2007;18(12):3042-3055.
28. Molzahn AE, Bruce A, Shields L, et al. Quality of life of nephrology nurses' work life: stressors and supports. *Nephrol Nurs J.* 2013;40(3):245-251.
29. Hegberg NJ, Hall KS. Barriers to exercise in patients with end-stage renal disease: a systematic review. *J Nephrol.* 2011;24(3):352-360.
30. Barone GW, Rogers J, Eshelman A, et al. The impact of psychosocial factors on outcome in heart transplant candidates. *Psychosomatics.* 2006;47(3):213-217.
31. Rosenberger EM, DiMartini AF, DeVito Dabbs A, Dew MA. Psychiatric disorders in solid organ transplantation. *Psychosomatics.* 2013;54(5):433-439.
32. Theodoropoulos N, Diflo T, Mullon J, et al. Alcoholism and drug addiction in liver transplant patients. *Psychosomatics.* 2001;42(5):431-439.
33. Russel B. The impact of pre- and post-transplant anxiety and depression on outcomes. *Transplantation.* 2015;100(4):706-712.
34. Cohen SD, Norris L, Acquaviva K, Peterson RA, Kimmel PL. Screening, diagnosis, and treatment of depression in patients with end-stage renal disease. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2007;2(6):1332-1342.
35. Dobbels F, Vanhaecke J, De Geest S. Nonadherence with immunosuppressive drugs: is there a problem and should we care? A literature review. *Transplant Proc.* 2005;37(2):710-712.
36. Hiller C, Melby V, Elizondo MR, Neves F. A systematic review of nonadherence to immunosuppressants in kidney transplantation. *Transplantation.* 2015;99(5):1034-1040.
37. Evers AW, Kraaimaat FW, Geenen R, Jacobs JW, Bijlsma JW. Stress-vulnerability factors as long-term predictors of disease activity in early rheumatoid arthritis. *J Psychosom Res.* 2003;55(3):293-302.

38. Mura G, Bhat KM. Current understanding of cognitive impairment in chronic kidney disease. *Int J Gen Med.* 2012;5:1-14.
39. Tong A, Chapman JR, Wong G, Craig JC. Patients' beliefs and attitudes to taking statins: systematic review of qualitative studies. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2011;26(4):364-370.
40. Marti AM, Mula C, Roussos I, et al. Health-related quality of life and psychological outcomes in lung transplant recipients: a prospective study. *J Heart Lung Transplant.* 2016;35(5):566-570.
41. Rogal SS, Dew MA, DiMartini AF. Mental health treatment patterns and survival among liver transplant recipients with alcohol use disorder. *Am J Transplant.* 2013;13(2):398-405.
42. Pasquini MC, Wang Z, Horowitz MM, Gale RP. Donor and recipient sex in allogeneic stem cell transplantation: Does it matter? *Haematologica.* 2011;96(10):1441-1453.
43. King MM, Husain M, McClure M, et al. Sleep and kidney transplantation: a review of the literature. *Transplant Rev (Orlando).* 2018;32(1):16-25.
44. Tevar AD, Eskandari M, Starzl TE. Psychological aspects of immunosuppressive therapy. *Transplantation.* 2013;95(5):667-672.
45. Chisholm-Burns MA, Spivey CA, Wilks SE, et al. Evaluating the relationship between social support and medication adherence among kidney transplant recipients. *Clin Transplant.* 2012;26(2):336-344.
46. Gross CR, Kreitzer MJ, Russas V, et al. Mindfulness meditation to reduce symptoms after organ transplant: a pilot study. *Adv Mind Body Med.* 2011;25(2):14-20.
47. Wolcott DL, Dew MA. The psychosocial management of organ transplant patients. In: Johnson RW, Flechner SM, & Murray BM, editors. *The Kidney Transplant Handbook.* New York: Springer; 2005. p. 307-335.
48. Helgeson VS, Cohen S. Social support and adjustment to cancer: Reconciling descriptive, correlational, and intervention research. *Health Psychol.* 1996;15(2):135-148.
49. Gordon EJ. Patient education and moral status. *Health Expect.* 2000;3(3):203-212.
50. Maslow GR, Haydon A, McRee AL, et al. Growing up with a chronic illness: Social success, educational/vocational distress. *J Adolesc Health.* 2011;49(2):206-212.