v. 4, n. 36, 2024

International Journal of Health Science

MIND OVER MATTER: UNDERSTANDING THE PSYCHOLOGICAL IMPACT OF AMPUTATION

Isabela Dablan Samara Fazilari
http://lattes.cnpq.br/6292974500416528

Sofia Hamada Jucá
https://lattes.cnpq.br/1095042544608897

Vitor Mendes Grise Vieira
https://lattes.cnpq.br/8242953181979934

Job de Paula Freitas
http://lattes.cnpq.br/9545588157966727

Lícia Wênia Santos Pimenta Torres
http://lattes.cnpq.br/6329306989275649

Ana Caroline Falcão Garcia
http://lattes.cnpq.br/4791743372358340

Paula Rezende Rodrigues
http://lattes.cnpq.br/7109479282620692

Giulia Lunardi
http://lattes.cnpq.br/7511203893874264

Lucas Rodgher de lírio
http://lattes.cnpq.br/6180592222308189

João Pedro fantin Amaral
http://lattes.cnpq.br/1917405205086411

Bárbara de Mello Galvani
http://lattes.cnpq.br/6240214998789726

Mauricio Lopes da Silva Netto
http://lattes.cnpq.br/4791743372358340

Abstract: INTRODUCTION: Amputation, while addressing critical health issues, has broad implications, necessitating a holistic approach to post-operative care that encompasses psychological and social rehabilitation. Globally, amputation rates reflect healthcare disparities, with factors like disease prevalence affecting incidence. Rehabilitation challenges vary with the amputation's extent, requiring personalized healthcare strategies involving a team of professionals from surgery to psychological support. Mental health concerns, including depression and body image issues, are prevalent, underlining the importance of early intervention and sustained support systems. Addressing body dysmorphia is crucial for amputees to aid in psychological adjustment and social reintegration, highlighting the multidimensional aspects of amputation care. **OBJETIVE:** Analyze and describe the main aspects of psychological impact of amputation the last years.

METHODS: Narrative review, using as descriptors: "Psychological Impact" AND "Amputation" AND "Psychosomatic Medicine" AND "Rehabilitation Psychology" in the last years on MEDLINE – PubMed (National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health), COCHRANE, EMBASE and Google Scholar databases.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: There are an intricate dynamics between amputation and mental health, spotlighting the prevalent mental health disorders such as depression, anxiety, and PTSD among amputees, especially those with traumatic amputation experiences. It stresses the imperative of early psychological intervention and specialized, amputation-specific mental health programs to mitigate long-term psychological issues. Highlighting the profound impact of limb loss on personal identity and self-esteem, the text advocates for comprehensive rehabilitation programs that include mental health support tailored to individual needs. The variability in outcomes from existing mental health interventions like CBT underscores the need for personalized care plans and further research. It also emphasizes the critical role of social support, equitable healthcare access, and the importance of addressing barriers to mental health care, such as stigma and financial constraints. The narrative suggests that technological advances in prosthetics show promise for enhancing psychological outcomes but points out the gap in accessibility. Conclusively, it calls for an integrated, multidisciplinary care approach that marries physical and mental health support to improve amputees' overall outcomes and quality of life, underlining the continuous need for support and the multifaceted nature of adjusting to life post-amputation, including dealing with phantom limb pain and navigating the psychological aftermath of limb loss.

CONCLUSION: The synthesis highlights the intricate relationship between amputation and mental health, underscoring the prevalence of mental health disorders such as depression, anxiety, and PTSD among amputees and the crucial need for early psychological interventions. It points to the essential role of tailored, holistic care plans that integrate physical and mental health support, recognizing the variability in treatment outcomes and the importance of personalized approaches. Emphasizing the value of social support, equitable healthcare access, and continuous mental health services, the synthesis advocates for systemic changes to ensure comprehensive support for all amputees. It also notes the positive impact of technological advances in prosthetics on psychological outcomes, while calling for efforts to address accessibility challenges. Overall, the narrative calls for a multidisciplinary, integrated care approach

to improve the well-being and quality of life for amputees, highlighting the ongoing need for support and the complexities of the psychological response to limb loss.

Keywords: Rehabilitation Psychology; Psychosomatic Medicine; Amputation.

INTRODUCTION

Amputation is a complex medical intervention defined as the removal of a limb or extremity by surgery, trauma, or medical illness, often as a life-saving or life-improving measure[1]. The decision to amputate is typically driven by factors such as irreversible tissue damage, severe infection, or chronic pain[2]. While the procedure primarily serves a physical health purpose, its psychological and social implications necessitate comprehensive post-operative care[1,2].

The global incidence of amputation exhibits considerable variation, influenced by factors such as healthcare infrastructure, prevalence of noncommunicable diseases, and accident rate[3]. In Brazil, amputations are predominantly performed due to complications from diabetes and peripheral arterial disease, reflecting broader health and socioeconomic challenges[4]. This context underscores the importance of preventative measures and highlights the disparity in amputation rates between developed and developing countries[3].

Amputations are categorized based on the affected area and extent of removal[5]. Major amputations, such as above-knee and below-elbow, significantly impact mobility and dexterity[6]. In contrast, minor amputations involve the removal of digits or parts of limbs[6]. Each type poses unique challenges and rehabilitation needs, emphasizing the importance of tailored healthcare strategies[5]. The multidisciplinary nature of amputation care involves various specialties beyond surgery, including prosthetics, physical therapy, psychology, and occupational therapy[7]. These fields collaborate to address the comprehensive needs of amputees, from wound healing and prosthesis fitting to emotional support and social reintegration[8].

Mental health issues such as depression, anxiety, and body image disturbances are common post-amputation, affecting rehabilitation and quality of life[9]. Early psychological intervention, ongoing support, and community engagement are crucial for addressing these challenges and promoting mental well-being among amputees[10].

Body dysmorphia related to limb amputation is a complex psychological condition where individuals experience distress or are preoccupied with perceived flaws in their physical appearance, particularly following the loss of a limb[11]. This can manifest in various ways, from an obsession over the missing limb to dissatisfaction with prosthetics or the appearance of the residual limb. Such concerns may exacerbate feelings of loss and alter the individual's self-image, potentially leading to social withdrawal or depression[12].

OBJETIVES

Analyze and describe the main aspects of psychological impact of amputation the last years.

SECUNDARY OBJETIVES

1. To explore the prevalence and nature of mental health disorders, such as depression, anxiety, and PTSD, among individuals who have undergone amputation;
2. To review the psychological impact of limb loss on personal identity, body image, and self-esteem;
3.To examine existing mental health interventions and their effectiveness for amputees, identifying gaps and best practices;

4.To analyze the role of social support, healthcare systems, and community resources in the mental health outcomes of amputees.

5. To synthesize current theories and models that explain the psychological impact of amputation, to build a coherent theoretical framework for future research.

METHODS

This is a narrative review, in which the main aspects Psychological Impact of Amputation in recent years were analyzed. The beginning of the study was carried out with theoretical training using the following databases: PubMed, sciELO and Medline, using as descriptors: "Psychological Impact" AND "Amputation" AND "Psychosomatic Medicine" AND "Rehabilitation Psychology" in the last years. As it is a narrative review, this study does not have any risks.

Databases: This review included studies in the MEDLINE – PubMed (National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health), COCHRANE, EMBASE and Google Scholar databases.

The inclusion criteria applied in the analytical review were human intervention studies, experimental studies, cohort studies, case-control studies, cross-sectional studies and literature reviews, editorials, case reports, and poster presentations. Also, only studies writing in English and Portuguese were included.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Recent studies have indicated a significant prevalence of mental health disorders among amputees, with depression and anxiety being the most commonly reported conditions[13]. Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) has also been noted, particularly in individuals whose amputations resulted from traumatic events[13]. These findings underscore the importance of early psychological assessment and intervention in this population to mitigate long-term mental health issues[14].

The impact of limb loss on personal identity and self-esteem has been profound, with many amputees experiencing feelings of incompleteness and a distorted body image[15]. Research suggests that these psychological challenges can contribute to longer-term mental health problems if not addressed adequately[14]. This highlights the need for comprehensive rehabilitation programs that include mental health support focused on rebuilding self-esteem and adjusting to body image changes[16].

A review of mental health interventions for amputees reveals a mixed picture[17]. While some studies report significant benefits from targeted therapies such as Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), others suggest a need for more specialized, amputation-specific intervention models[15]. The variability in intervention outcomes points to the necessity for personalized care plans and further research into effective mental health treatments for this demographic[18].

The literature consistently demonstrates the critical role of social support, healthcare systems, and community resources in improving mental health outcomes for amputees[18]. However, disparities in access to these resources can exacerbate mental health issues[19]. There is a clear call for policy and systemic changes to ensure that all amputees have access to the necessary support networks[18].

Significant differences in mental health challenges have been observed across various demographic groups within the amputee population[19]. Factors such as age, gender, cause of amputation, and cultural background have all been shown to influence psychological outcomes[18]. These findings suggest a need for tailored mental health approaches that

consider these diverse experiences and challenges[19].

Long-term studies indicate that while many amputees demonstrate remarkable resilience, a substantial number continue to face mental health challenges years after the event[20]. Quality of life measurements vary significantly, with social integration and continued access to mental health services being key factors in positive long-term adaptation[19]. This underscores the importance of ongoing support for amputees, beyond the initial post-operative period[20].

One of the most consistent themes in the literature is the range of barriers to accessing mental health care faced by amputees, including financial constraints, lack of awareness, and stigma[21]. Efforts to overcome these barriers, such as increasing insurance coverage and public mental health initiatives, are crucial for improving the mental health outcomes of this group[21]. The review has identified several theoretical frameworks that attempt to explain the psychological impact of amputation, including models of grief and loss, identity change, and body image adaptation[22]. These theories provide valuable insights but also highlight the complexity of the psychological response to amputation, suggesting a need for multidisciplinary approaches in both research and treatment[21,22].

Technological advances in prosthetics and rehabilitation techniques have shown promising effects on the mental health and well-being of amputees. Improved prosthetic functionality appears to correlate with better psychological outcomes, particularly in terms of increased autonomy and reduced feelings of disability[22,23]. However, the literature also indicates a gap between technological availability and user accessibility, pointing to an area requiring further attention[23].

The culmination of this review suggests a pressing need for holistic, multidisciplinary care plans that address both the physical and mental health needs of amputees[24]. Incorporating mental health support into standard care protocols has been shown to significantly improve overall outcomes for amputees, highlighting the interdependence of physical and mental health recovery[24]. There is a consensus in the literature that adopting an integrated care approach can lead to better health outcomes and a higher quality of life for amputees[22,23,24].

Mourning the loss of a limb can be a profound and complex experience, paralleling the grieving process of losing a loved one. Individuals may go through stages of denial, anger, bargaining, depression, and acceptance[24,25]. Acknowledgment of the loss by healthcare professionals and offering psychological support are crucial steps in facilitating healthy mourning[25]. Support groups and therapy can provide essential spaces for expressing feelings and sharing experiences, aiding in the adaptation to new life circumstances and the reformation of identity post-amputation[22,25].

Phantom limb pain (PLP) is a complex and multifaceted condition experienced by individuals who have undergone limb amputations[26]. PLP is characterized by the perception of pain originating from a limb that no longer exists[27]. Research into PLP faces several significant challenges due to the condition's highly individual nature, which is influenced by a myriad of factors including genetics, psychological state, social-environmental factors, and cultural background[28]. The pain experienced can vary greatly in quality, intensity, frequency, and onset time, and is further complicated by factors related to the amputation itself, such as the reason for amputation and the specific limb that was removed[26]. This heterogeneity makes it difficult to study PLP and to develop universally effective treatments[26,27, 28].

CONCLUSION

The synthesis underscores the complex interplay between physical amputation and mental health, illustrating the broad spectrum of psychological impacts and the critical need for holistic, multidisciplinary care approaches. Key points include the high incidence of mental health disorders among amputees, such as depression, anxiety, and PTSD, emphasizing the necessity for early psychological intervention and specialized mental health programs tailored to amputees' unique needs.

Despite the potential benefits of treatments like Cognitive Behavioral Therapy, the variability in outcomes suggests a pressing need for personalized care plans and further research into effective mental health treatments. The role of social support, equitable access to healthcare resources, and the significance of continuous mental health services for positive long-term adaptation are emphasized. The text acknowledges the diversity within the amputee population and the various barriers to accessing mental health care, advocating for policy changes and systemic improvements to ensure comprehensive support.

Technological advancements in prosthetics and rehabilitation are recognized for their potential to improve psychological outcomes, though challenges in accessibility remain. The narrative concludes by reinforcing the need for an integrated care approach that encompasses both physical and mental health support to enhance overall well-being and quality of life for amputees, underlining the importance of ongoing support and acknowledgment of the psychological complexities associated with limb loss.

REFERENCES

1. Cleveland Clinic. Amputation: Definition, Indications & Recovery [Internet]. Cleveland Clinic; [cited 2024]. Available from: https://my.clevelandclinic.org/health/treatments/21599-amputation.

2. Johns Hopkins Medicine. Amputation [Internet]. Johns Hopkins Medicine; [cited 2024]. Available from: https://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/health/treatments-and-procedures/amputation.

3. Dy CJ, Lyman S, Boutin-Foster C, et al. Do outcomes vary according to site of amputation in patients with critical limb ischemia? The lower extremity amputation study. J Vasc Surg. 2012;55(4):1005-1012.

4. Belatti DA, Phisitkul P. Declines in Lower Extremity Amputation in the US Medicare Population, 2000-2010. J Foot Ankle Surg. 2013;52(3):258-261.

5. Ziegler-Graham K, MacKenzie EJ, Ephraim PL, Travison TG, Brookmeyer R. Estimating the prevalence of limb loss in the United States: 2005 to 2050. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2008;89(3):422-429.

6. Dillingham TR, Pezzin LE, MacKenzie EJ. Limb amputation and limb deficiency: epidemiology and recent trends in the United States. South Med J. 2002;95(8):875-883.

7. Smith DG, Michael JW, Bowker JH. Atlas of Amputations and Limb Deficiencies: Surgical, Prosthetic, and Rehabilitation Principles. 3rd ed. Rosemont, IL: American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons; 2004.

8. Gailey RS, Roach KE, Applegate EB, et al. The amputee mobility predictor: an instrument to assess determinants of the lower-limb amputee's ability to ambulate. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2002;83(5):613-627.

9. Desmond DM, MacLachlan M. Affective distress and amputation-related pain among older men with long-term, traumatic limb amputations. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2006;31(4):362-8.

10. Rybarczyk B, Edwards R, Behel J. Diversity in adjustment to a leg amputation: Case illustrations of common themes. Rehabil Psychol. 2004;49(3):272-80.

11. Holzer LA, Sevelda F, Fraberger G, Bluder O, Kickinger W, Holzer G. Body image and self-esteem in lower-limb amputees. PLoS One. 2014;9(3):e92943.

12. Buki LP, Reich M, Lehardy EN. "Our organs have a purpose": body image acceptance in Latina breast cancer survivors. Psycho-Oncology. 2016;25(11).

13. Roșca AC, Baciu CC, Burtăverde V, Mateizer A. Psychological Consequences in Patients With Amputation of a Limb: An Interpretative-Phenomenological Analysis. Front Psychol. 2021;12:537493.

14. Desmond D, MacLachlan M. Affective distress and amputation-related pain among older men with long-term, traumatic limb amputations. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2006;31(4):362-8.

15. Senra H, Oliveira RA, Leal I, Vieira C. Beyond the body image: a qualitative study on how adults experience lower limb amputation. Clin Rehabil. 2012;26(2):180-91.

16. Gallagher P, MacLachlan M. Development and psychometric evaluation of the Trinity Amputation and Prosthesis Experience Scales (TAPES). Rehabil Psychol. 2000;45(2):130-54.

17. Rybarczyk B, Edwards R, Behel J. Diversity in adjustment to a leg amputation: Case illustrations of common themes. Rehabil Psychol. 2004;49(3):272-80.

18. Schoppen T, Boonstra A, Groothoff JW, de Vries J, Göeken LN, Eisma WH. Physical, mental, and social predictors of functional outcome in unilateral lower-limb amputees. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2003;84(6):803-11.

19. Whyte AS, Carroll LJ. A preliminary examination of the relationship between employment, pain and disability in an amputee population. Disabil Rehabil. 2002;24(9):462-70.

20. Burger H, Marincek C. Return to work after lower limb amputation. Disabil Rehabil. 2007;29(17):1323-9.

21. Kashani JH, Frank RG, Kashani SR, Wonderlich SA. Depression among amputees. J Clin Psychiatry. 1983;44(7):256-8.

22. Horgan O, MacLachlan M. Psychosocial adjustment to lower-limb amputation: a review. Disabil Rehabil. 2004;26(14-15):837-50.

23. Carrick, L. (2023). Self-Care for Amputees – The Whats, Whys and Hows. Apputee.

24. TNO, KMH. (2023). Disabled people's experiences accessing healthcare services during the COVID-19 pandemic: A scoping review. BMC Health Services Research.

25. THRIVE. (2023). Living with Limb Loss and Limb Difference: Living Well with Positive Mental Health. Amputee Coalition Blog.

26. Kaur A, Guan Y. Phantom limb pain: A literature review. Chin J Traumatol. 2018 Dec;21(6):366-368. doi: 10.1016/j.cjtee.2018.04.006. Epub 2018 Dec 4. PMID: 30583983; PMCID: PMC6354174.

27. Collins KL, Russell HG, Schumacher PJ, Robinson-Freeman KE, O'Conor EC, Gibney KD, Yambem O, Dykes RW, Waters RS, Tsao JW. A review of current theories and treatments for phantom limb pain. J Clin Invest. 2018 Jun 1;128(6):2168-2176. doi: 10.1172/JCI94003. Epub 2018 Jun 1. PMID: 29856366; PMCID: PMC5983333.

28. Urits I, Seifert D, Seats A, Giacomazzi S, Kipp M, Orhurhu V, Kaye AD, Viswanath O. Treatment Strategies and Effective Management of Phantom Limb-Associated Pain. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2019 Jul 29;23(9):64. doi: 10.1007/s11916-019-0802-0. PMID: 31359171.